

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 . www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,377	10/22/2001		Kenneth J. Galipeau	14113.57.1.1	9767 .
21912	7590	04/05/2004		EXAMINER	
VAN PEL			LE, DIEU MINH T		
CUPERTIN		BLVD #200 5014		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2114	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/004,377	GALIPEAU ET AL.	·
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dieu-Minh Le	2114	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONe, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<b>1</b> .
Status			,
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 J</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal mat	•	6
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 23-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrated 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 23-30 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or is/are subject.	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyant on its required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage	
·			
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2114

٤

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- This Office Action is in response to the RCE filed January
   2004 in application 10/004,377.
- 2. Claims 1-22 have been canceled, claim 23-30 have been added.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable Funk (US Patent 5,793,497) in view of Cheffetz et al. (US Patent 5,133,065 hereafter referred to as Cheffetz)

#### As per claim 23:

Funk substantially teaches the invention. Funk teaches:

- a method for data protection [abstract, col. 5, lines 29-33 and col. 6, lines 1-11]

#### comprising:

- accessing a file in a computer system [col. 7, lines 55-59];
- capturing change information representing a change to the file [col. 6, lines 1-11].



Art Unit: 2114

- sending a validation request to validate the change to the file (i.e., sending confirmation back to sender confirming change to database) [fig. 6, lines 19-20]

- transmitting the change information through a network [fig. 1, col. 2, lines 14-28].

Funk does not explicitly teach:

- the transmission is initiated substantially concurrently with a time the change to the file occurs, if the change to the file is validated.

However, Funk does disclose capability of:

- a method and apparatus for delivering and modifying information electronically [abstract, col. 2, lines 14-27] comprising:
- a connectivity among memory, processor, end-user terminals (i.e., remote as well as local) via LAN and Internet (WAN) environment [fig. 1, col. 3, lines 35 through col. 4, lines 25];
- information exchanging and dynamically updating between source and destination (i.e., end user terminals) via a network in a real-time [col. 5 lines 43-51];

Art Unit: 2114

- information modification and editing [col. 6, line 64 through col. 7, line20];
- file generating, updating information to and from database, and transmitting data via a network [col. 2, lines 14-41].
  - periodically updating the information in the information

    database and generating a file corresponding to the

    customer based on information in the customer record and

    the dynamically updated information [col. 2, lines 36-39];

     means for responsive to the message from the

    predetermined customer for modifying a customer record

    responding to the predetermined customer [col. 2, lines 32-35];
  - sending confirmation back to sender confirming change to database [fig. 6, lines 19-20].

In addition, Cheffetz explicitly teaches:

- a computer network for backing up data and program file located on networked workstation onto a central [abstract, col. 1, lines 8-15];

#### comprising:

- an substantially concurrently data backup transmission between remote workstation and master workstation via a network [col. 3, lines 49-59];

Art Unit: 2114

- maintaining security over confidential data or program files (i.e., data protection) [col. 3, lines 23-26];
- file accessing, data processing, data exchanging/sharing over the network [col. 3, lines 28-35].
- <u>defining files before and after a modification</u> [col. 5, lines 23-24];
  - reason for modification [col. 5, line 45];
- users tracking changes to files [col. 5, lines 58 through col. 6, lines 6];
  - MasterDaemon program used to monitor constantly all files changes [col. 6, lines 45-60].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made first, to realize the Funk's method and apparatus for delivering and modifying information electronically comprising information exchanging and dynamically updating between source and destination (i.e., end user terminals) via a network in a realtime and sending confirmation back to sender confirming change to database as being the transmission is initiated substantially concurrently with a time the change to the file occurs as claimed by Application. This is because the Funk does deal with a data file/information updating and exchanging



Art Unit: 2114

between communication nodes (i.e., sources/destinations) or end user terminal in real-time process, the data/information should be updated in real-time including file modification, verification, confirmation (i.e., substantially concurrently changes of data files) within the data security or protection environment, such as stock and bank data/information updating and exchanging security environment; second, one would modify the Funk to explicitly including an substantially concurrently data backup transmission between remote workstation and master workstation via a network and MasterDaemon program used to monitor constantly all files changes as taught by Cheffetz's computer network for backing up data and program file located on network workstation onto a central in supporting the computer data protection via data file/information updating and exchanging transmission.

This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to provide the computer data file/information transmission via a networking environment with a mechanism to enhance the data security, data performance, data availability, and data integrity in ordering to providing an optimal data/information protection and exchanging system.

Art Unit: 2114

It is further obvious because by utilizing this approach, data files within the protected system can be realized in latest data/information transmitted among end user communication, real-time data process, and data security protection and execution.

#### As per claims 24-28:

Funk substantially teaches the invention. Funk teaches:

- a method for data protection [abstract, col. 5, lines 29-33 and col. 6, lines 1-11]

## comprising:

- a change is a file and write operation (i.e., information modification and editing) [fig. 5, col. 5, lines 52-66 and col. 6, lines 64 through col. 7, lines 20];
- the file is accessed by an application program [col. 3, lines 35-42 and col7, lines 21-26].
- the change information is transmitted to a second computer and to a remote computer (i.e., end users terminal data transmitted via a LAN and Internet (WAN) environment as well as information exchanging and dynamically updating between source and destination (i.e., end user terminals) via a network in a real-time ) [fig. 1, col. 3, lines 59 through col. 4, lines 23].

Art Unit: 2114

- user dial-up access for requesting information (i.e., information transmitted to a remote computer) [col. 1, lines 42-53].

In addition, Cheffetz explicitly teaches:

- a computer network for backing up data and program file located on networked workstation onto a central [abstract, col. 1, lines 8-15];

## comprising:

- an substantially concurrently data backup transmission between remote workstation and master workstation via a network [col. 3, lines 49-59];
- maintaining security over confidential data or program files (i.e., data protection) [col. 3, lines 23-26];
- file accessing, data processing, data exchanging/sharing over the network [col. 3, lines 28-35].

## As per claim 29:

Claim 29 similar to claim 23. The only minor different is that claim 29 additionally introduced a memory coupled with processor.

However, Funk does disclose capability of:

Art Unit: 2114

- a system for data protection [abstract, col. 5, lines 29-33 and col. 6, lines 1-11]

#### comprising:

- a database coupled with the processor in supporting the data configuration, data exchanging, data updating, etc... [fig. 2, col. 4, lines 25-31].
  - a connectivity among memory, processor, end-user terminals (i.e., remote as well as local) via LAN and Internet (WAN) environment [fig. 1, col. 3, lines 35 through col. 4, lines 25];

Therefore, this claim is also rejected under the same rationale applied against claim 23. In addition, all of the limitations have been noted in the rejection as per claim 23.

#### As per claim 30:

Claim 30 similar to claim 23. The only minor different is that claim 29 additionally introduced change information between a first and second file.

However, Funk does disclose capability of:

- a method for data protection [abstract, col. 5, lines 29-33 and col. 6, lines 1-11]

#### comprising:

Art Unit: 2114

- information exchanging and dynamically updating between source and destination (i.e., end user terminals) via a network in a real-time [col. 5 lines 43-51] (i.e., change information between a first and second file);
  - a connectivity among memory, processor, end-user terminals (i.e., remote as well as local) via LAN and Internet (WAN) environment [fig. 1, col. 3, lines 35 through col. 4, lines 25];
  - editing and modifying customer records (i.e., first and second files) [col. 7, lines 10-12].

In addition, Cheffetz explicitly teaches:

- a computer network for backing up data and program file located on networked workstation onto a central [abstract, col. 1, lines 8-15];

### comprising:

- an substantially concurrently data backup transmission between remote workstation and master workstation via a network [col. 3, lines 49-59] (i.e., change information between a first and second file).

Art Unit: 2114

Therefore, this claim is also rejected under the same rationale applied against claim 23. In addition, all of the limitations have been noted in the rejection as per claim 23.

## Response to Applicant's remarks

Applicant asserts that Funk in combining with Cheffetz failed to teach or suggest the following:

- A. sending a validation request to validate the change to file;
- B. transmitting the change information through a network, wherein the transmission is initiated substantially concurrently with a time the change to the file occurs, if the change to file is validated.

Examiner respectfully transverses Applicant's argument as follows:

A. First, It is not true that both Funk and Cheffetz failed to teach the "sending a

validation request to validate the change to file" as claimed by Applicant. Examiner again would like to bring Applicant attention to Funk's method and apparatus for delivering and

Art Unit: 2114

modifying information electronically [abstract, col. 2, lines 14-27].

Funk explicitly addressed:

- periodically updating the information in the information database and generating a file corresponding to the customer based on information in the customer record and the dynamically updated information [col. 2, lines 36-39]; means for responsive to the message from the predetermined customer for modifying a customer record responding to the predetermined customer [col. 2, lines 32-35];
- sending confirmation back to sender confirming change to database [fig. 6, lines 19-20]

In addition, Cheffetz also disclosed:

- <u>defining files before and after a modification</u> [col. 5, lines 23-24];
  - reason for modification [col. 5, line 45];
- users tracking changes to files [col. 5, lines 58 through col. 6, lines 6];
  - MasterDaemon program used to monitor constantly all files changes [col. 6, lines 45-60].

Art Unit: 2114

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art to realize alone or combine of Funk and Cheffetz's invention do teach Applicant's argument. That is by applying the confirmation request of Funk or tracking and monitoring file changes of Cheffetz, the computer files can be verified, tracked, checked, confirmed, acknowledged (i.e., validated) in supporting the data protection within the computer system.

Second, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art that the file validation or verification function is a very common practice within computer data protection system.

This is because by performing this capability, data files can be ensured for its execution within the computer operation, more specifically for its data security and integrity aspect.

B. First, it is not true that Funk in combining with Cheffetz failed to teach the "transmitting the change information through a network, wherein the transmission is initiated substantially concurrently with a time the change to the file occurs, if the change to file is validated" as claimed by Applicant.

Funk disclosed a method and apparatus for delivering and modifying information electronically [abstract, col. 2, lines 14-27] comprising information exchanging and dynamically

Art Unit: 2114

updating between source and destination via a network in a real-time [col. 5 lines 43-51], information modification and editing [col. 6, line 64 through col. 7, line20] file generating, updating information to and from database, and transmitting data via a network [col. 2, lines 14-41], and more specifically sending confirmation(i.e., validation request) back to sender confirming change to database [fig. 6, lines 19-20].

Moreover, Cheffetz explicitly teached a computer network for backing up data and program file located on networked workstation onto a central [abstract, col. 1, lines 8-15] comprising an substantially concurrently data backup transmission between remote workstation and master workstation via a network [col. 3, lines 49-59] including defining files before and after a modification [col. 5, lines 23-24] and/or constantly monitoring files changes via MaterDaemon [col. 6, lines 45-60].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made realize the combination of Funk and Cheffetz do teach Applicant' argument. This is because by applying the data concurrently backup transmission with a constant monitoring, modifying,

Art Unit: 2114

and/or validating file changes within the computer data protection system, change information can be trusted by applicant's user for its operation function. In turn, it would enhance data performance, data integrity, and data throughput via a networking environment.

Second, the modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to provide the computer data file/information transmission via a networking environment with a mechanism to enhance the data security, data performance, data availability, and data integrity in ordering to providing an optimal data/information protection and exchanging system.

Art Unit: 2114

## **Conclusion**

Page 16

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expired THREE (3) months, ZERO days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to be abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dieu-Minh Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-9408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel, can be reached on (703)305-9713. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703)872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

DIEU-MINH THAI LE PRIMARY EXAMINER

**ART UNIT 2114** 

DML 4/1/04